

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,182	06/08/2006	Hakan Larsson	1103326-0909	8932
7470 WHITE & CA	7590 11/07/2007 SELLP	•	EXAM	INER
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT		SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G	
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/582,182	LARSSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	August 2007.					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		· .				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7 and 8</u> is/are pending in the appli	☑ Claim(s) <u>5,7 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	*					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in a contract of the contract	Application No n received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/07.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

4

Art Unit: 1614

An Amendment filed August 20, 2007 is acknowledged. Claim 6 is canceled. Claims 5, 7 and 8 remain under consideration.

A brief description of Figure 1 on page 4 of the specification is noted.

An Abstract on a separate sheet of paper is further noted.

An Information Disclosure Statement filed August 20, 2007 is further acknowledged and has been reviewed.

Claims 5, 7 and 8 were provisionally rejected in the last Office Action on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-14 of copending Application No. 10/582388. The claims of the co-pending application are drawn to the administration of a metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 antagonist, such as 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, to treat the functional gastrointestinal disorder irritable bowel syndrome.

The rejection of record on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn because the claims of the co-pending application are drawn to the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome.

In the last Office Action claims 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 5 was drawn to "treatment of a functional gastrointestinal disorder" comprising administering any metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 antagonist. The rejection was based on insufficient written description in the disclosure.

717 CONTION NAMED C. 107002, 10

Art Unit: 1614

The subject matter presently under consideration is limited to treatment of functional dyspepsia, an art recognized condition without pathologic correlation. However, no metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 antagonist other than 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) is discussed in a method of treatment. Under <u>Biological evaluation</u>, pages 5-8 of the specification, in an animal model following MPEP administration, only functional dyspepsia is described.

Applicants argue MPEP 2163(I)(A) *suggests* the claimed invention as a whole *may* be adequately described when there is a "described or artrecognized correlation or relationship between the structure of the invention and its function." In the present case, Applicants urge metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR) are G-protein coupled receptors that are involved in the regulation and activity of many synapses in the central nervous system. More specifically, with respect to the claimed mGluR5, Applicants state it is known that this subtype of mGluR is characterized by a structural similarity, i.e., activates phospholipase C and increases neuronal activity.

Applicants' argument has been given careful consideration but is not found persuasive. The rejection of claim 5 is maintained. No description or art-recognized correlation or relationship between the structure of the invention and its function is noted. Applicants have extrapolated involvement in the regulation and activity of many synapses in the central nervous system

Art Unit: 1614

to clinical efficacy without presenting a clear showing that a structure:activity relationship exists. In view of the sensitivity of mGluR5 receptors and the unknown and potentially limitless diverse functionalities of antagonists of mGluR5 receptors, the skilled artisan could not "immediately envisage" the claimed methods of treating functional dyspepsia with any antagonist of mGluR5 receptors based on the limited description provided in the disclosure.

Adequate description requires more than a mere statement that various antagonists are part of the invention. Genetech Inc. vs. Nova Nordisk states, "[A] patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for a search but a compensation for its successful conclusion and 'patent protection' is granted in return for an enabling disclosure of an invention, not for vague intimations of general ideas that may or may not be workable" (42 USPQ 2d 1001, Fed. Circuit, 1997).

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Final Action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this Action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this Final Action and the Advisory Action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the Advisory Action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1614

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the Advisory Action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this Final Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-

0585. The Examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one

business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached 571-272-

0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 1, 2007

1614

Page 5